

Efficient Computation of the DFT: FFT Algorithms

Dr. Deepa Kundur

University of Toronto

Discrete-Time Signals and Systems

Reference:

Section 8.1 of

John G. Proakis and Dimitris G. Manolakis, *Digital Signal Processing: Principles, Algorithms, and Applications*, 4th edition, 2007.

Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) Pair

► DFT Pair:

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k)e^{j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

► The IDFT can be computed using the DFT by

1. reversing the order of the input to the DFT
2. scaling the associated output by $\frac{1}{N}$

► The complexity of the IDFT is the same as the complexity of the DFT.

Computing the IDFT via the DFT

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n)e^{-j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}$$

$$x(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k)e^{j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}, \quad \text{let } k' = n \text{ and } n' = k$$

$$x(k') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n'=0}^{N-1} X(n')e^{j2\pi n' \frac{k'}{N}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n'=0}^{N-1} X(n')e^{j2\pi k' \frac{n'}{N}} \quad \text{let } n'' = -n'$$

Computing the IDFT via the DFT

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(k') &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n'=0}^{N-1} X(n') e^{j2\pi k' \frac{n'}{N}} \quad \text{let } n'' = -n' \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n'=0}^{N-1} X_p(n') e^{j2\pi k' \frac{n'}{N}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n''=-(N-1)}^0 X_p(-n'') e^{-j2\pi k' \frac{n''}{N}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n''=-(N-1)}^0 \underbrace{X_p(-n'')}_{\text{periodic with period } N} e^{-j2\pi k' \frac{n''}{N}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n''=-(N-1)+(N-1)}^{0+(N-1)} X_p(-n'' + N) e^{-j2\pi k' \frac{n''}{N}}
 \end{aligned}$$

DFT Pair

- DFT Pair:

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\
 x(n) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) e^{j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1
 \end{aligned}$$

- New notation: $W_N = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_N^{kn}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\
 x(n) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) W_N^{-kn}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1
 \end{aligned}$$

Computing the IDFT via the DFT

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(k) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X_p(N-n) e^{-j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} X(N-n) e^{-j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \underbrace{X(N-n)}_{\text{DFT argument}} e^{-j2\pi k \frac{n}{N}} \quad \text{where } X(N) \equiv X(0)
 \end{aligned}$$

- The IDFT can be computed using the DFT by
 1. reversing the order of the input to the DFT
 2. scaling the associated output by $\frac{1}{N}$
- The complexity of the IDFT is the same as the complexity of the DFT.

Complexity of the DFT (and IDFT)

- Straightforward implementation of DFT to compute $X(k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ requires:
 - N^2 complex multiplications
 - 1 complex mult = $(a_R + ja_I) \times (b_R + jb_I) = (a_R \times b_R - a_I \times b_I) + j(a_R \times b_I + a_I \times b_R)$
 $= 4$ real mult + 2 real add
 - $4N^2$ real multiplications
 - $N(N-1)$ complex additions
 - 1 complex add = $(a_R + ja_I) + (b_R + jb_I) = (a_R + b_R) + j(a_I + b_I) = 2$ real add
 - $2N(N-1) + 2N^2$ (from complex mult) real additions
 $= 2N(2N-1)$ real additions.

Complexity of the DFT

- ▶ Is $O(N^2)$ high?

- ▶ Yes. A linear increase in the length of the DFT increases the complexity by a power of two.
- ▶ Given the multitude of applications where Fourier analysis is employed (linear filtering, correlation analysis, spectrum analysis), a method of efficient computation is needed.

Complexity of the DFT

- ▶ How can we reduce complexity?

- ▶ Exploit **periodicity** of the complex exponential.

$$\begin{aligned} W_N^{k+N} &= W_N^k \\ \text{LHS} = W_N^{k+N} &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k+N}{N}} = e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} e^{-j2\pi \frac{N}{N}} \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} e^{-j2\pi} \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} \cdot (\cos(-2\pi) + j \sin(-2\pi)) \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} (1) \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} = W_N^k = \text{RHS} \end{aligned}$$

Complexity of the DFT

- ▶ How can we reduce complexity?

- ▶ Exploit **symmetry** of the complex exponential.

$$\begin{aligned} W_N^{k+\frac{N}{2}} &= -W_N^k \\ \text{LHS} = W_N^{k+\frac{N}{2}} &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k+N/2}{N}} = e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} e^{-j2\pi \frac{N/2}{N}} \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} e^{-j\pi} \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} \cdot (\cos(-\pi) + j \sin(-\pi)) \\ &= e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} (-1) \\ &= -e^{-j2\pi \frac{k}{N}} = -W_N^k = \text{RHS} \end{aligned}$$

Complexity of the DFT

- ▶ How can we reduce complexity?

- ▶ Exploit **periodicity** of the complex exponential.

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

- ▶ Consider $N = L \cdot M$ where $N, L, M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
 - ▶ If the length of a signal is prime, then we can zero pad the signal so that N is not prime.
- ▶ Decompose N -point DFT into successfully smaller DFTs
 - ▶ M L -point DFTs + L M -point DFTs
- ▶ Resulting algorithms are known as fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithms

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

Naive DFT	Divide-and-Conquer
$O(N^2)$	$O(L^2M + LM^2) = O(LN + MN)$

Example: Let $N = 500$

	Cmplx Mult	Cmplx Add
$N = 500$ Direct	250,000	249,500
$L = 2$ and $M = 250$	126,500	125,000
$L = 5$ and $M = 100$	53,000	51,500
$L = 20$ and $M = 25$	23,000	21,500

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

- WLOG suppose $x(n)$ is stored column-wise and $X(k)$ is stored row-wise.
- $n = mL + l$ and $k = Mp + q$

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} \\ X(p, q) &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) W_N^{(Mp+q)(mL+l)} \\ W_N^{(Mp+q)(mL+l)} &= W_N^{MLmp} W_N^{mLq} W_N^{MpI} W_N^{lq} \end{aligned}$$

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

- See [Figure 8.1.1 of text](#).
- Common 1-D to 2-D mappings for storage of $x(n)$:
 - $n = Ml + m$ ($x(n)$ values stored row-wise)
 - $n = l + mL$ ($x(n)$ values stored column-wised)
- See [Figure 8.1.2 of text](#).
- Common 1-D to 2-D mappings for storage of $X(k)$:
 - $k = Mp + q$ ($X(k)$ values stored row-wise)
 - $k = p + qL$ ($X(k)$ values stored column-wise)

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

- WLOG suppose $x(n)$ is stored column-wise and $X(k)$ is stored row-wise.
- $n = mL + l$ and $k = Mp + q$

$$\begin{aligned} X(p, q) &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) W_N^{(Mp+q)(mL+l)} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) W_N^{MLmp} W_N^{mLq} W_N^{MpI} W_N^{lq} \\ W_N^{MLmp} &= e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}MLmp} = e^{-j2\pi mp} = 1 \\ W_N^{mLq} &= e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}mLq} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N/L}mq} = W_{N/L}^{mq} = W_M^{mq} \\ W_N^{MpI} &= e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}MpI} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N/M}pl} = W_{N/M}^{pl} = W_L^{pl} \\ X(p, q) &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) \cdot 1 \cdot W_M^{mq} W_L^{pl} W_N^{lq} \end{aligned}$$

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(p, q) &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) \cdot 1 \cdot W_M^{mq} W_L^{pl} W_N^{lq} \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \left\{ W_N^{lq} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(l, m) W_M^{mq} \right] \right\} W_L^{pl} \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \left\{ W_N^{lq} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(l, m) W_M^{mq} \right] \right\}}_{L\text{-DFT}} W_L^{pl}
 \end{aligned}$$

M-DFT

Complexity Comparison

1. Compute M -DFTs:

LM^2 complex mult and $LM(M - 1)$ complex add

2. Compute a new rectangular array $G(l, q)$:

LM complex mult and 0 complex add

3. Compute the L -DFTs:

ML^2 complex mult and $ML(L - 1)$ complex add

Therefore, for divide-and-conquer:

- ▶ Complex multiplications: $N(M + L + 1)$
- ▶ Complex additions: $N(M + L - 2)$

Compared to complexity of direct N -DFT:

- ▶ Complex multiplications: N^2
- ▶ Complex additions: $N(N - 1)$

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

Steps to Compute $N (= ML)$ -DFT:

1. Compute M -DFTs

$$F(l, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(l, m) W_M^{mq}, \quad 0 \leq q \leq M - 1$$

for each of the rows $l = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1$.

2. Compute a new rectangular array $G(l, q)$ defined as

$$G(l, q) = W_N^{lq} F(l, q), \quad 0 \leq l \leq L - 1, 0 \leq q \leq M - 1$$

3. Compute the L -DFTs

$$X(p, q) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} G(l, q) W_L^{lp}$$

for each column $q = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$, of the array $G(l, q)$.

Complexity Comparison

Example: Let $N = 500$.

► Direct N -DFT

- ▶ Complex multiplications: 250,000
- ▶ Complex additions: 249,500

► Divide-and-conquer for $L = 2$ and $M = 250$

- ▶ Complex multiplications: 126,500
- ▶ Complex additions: 125,000

► Divide-and-conquer for $L = 5$ and $M = 100$

- ▶ Complex multiplications: 53,000
- ▶ Complex additions: 51,500

► Divide-and-conquer for $L = 20$ and $M = 25$

- ▶ Complex multiplications: 23,000
- ▶ Complex additions: 21,500

Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm 1

For $n = mL + l$ and $k = Mp + q$:

$$X(p, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) W_N^{(Mp+q)(mL+l)} = \underbrace{\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \left\{ W_N^{lq} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(l, m) W_M^{mq} \right]}_{M\text{-DFT}} \right\}}_{L\text{-DFT}} W_L^{pl}$$

1. Store the signal column-wise.
2. Compute the M -DFT of each row.
3. Multiply the resulting array by the phase factors W_N^{lq} .
4. Compute the L -DFT of each column.
5. Read the resulting array row-wise.

Divide-and-Conquer Implementation Example

Algorithm 1:

See [Figure 8.1.3 of text](#).

Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm 2

For $n = Mi + m$ and $k = qL + p$:

$$X(p, q) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) W_N^{(qL+p)(Mi+m)} = \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \left\{ W_N^{mp} \underbrace{\left[\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} x(l, m) W_L^{lp} \right]}_{L\text{-DFT}} \right\}}_{M\text{-DFT}} W_M^{mq}$$

1. Store the signal row-wise.
2. Compute the L -DFT of each column.
3. Multiply the resulting array by the phase factors W_N^{pm} .
4. Compute the M -DFT of each row.
5. Read the resulting array column-wise.

Divide-and-Conquer for Complexity Reduction

- ▶ Therefore for $N = L \cdot M$ where $N, L, M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we can decompose N -point DFT into successfully smaller DFTs:
 - ▶ M L -point DFTs AND L M -point DFTs

Naive DFT	Divide-and-Conquer
$O(N^2)$	$O(L^2M + LM^2) = O(LN + MN)$

Radix- r FFT Algorithms

- For $N = r^v$,
 - Divide-and-conquer results in the repeated use of r -DFTs that form a regular pattern and reduce complexity.
 - called radix- r FFT algorithms
- Consider $r = 2$ (most widely used algorithms):
 - decimation-in-time algorithm
 - decimation-in-frequency algorithm

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

Note: $W_N^2 = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N} \cdot 2} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N/2}} = W_{N/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= \sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} \underbrace{x(2m)}_{\equiv f_1(m)} W_N^{2km} + \sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} \underbrace{x(2m+1)}_{\equiv f_2(m)} W_N^{2km} W_N^k \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} f_1(m) W_{N/2}^{km}}_{\frac{N}{2}-\text{DFT of } f_1(m)} + W_N^k \underbrace{\sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} f_2(m) W_{N/2}^{km}}_{\frac{N}{2}-\text{DFT of } f_2(m)} \\ &= F_1(k) + W_N^k F_2(k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \end{aligned}$$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

- Decimation-in-time algorithm
 - equivalent to divide-and-conquer for $L = 2$ and $M = \frac{N}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \\ &= \sum_{n \text{ even}} x(n) W_N^{kn} + \sum_{n \text{ odd}} x(n) W_N^{kn} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(2m) W_N^{k(2m)} + \sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(2m+1) W_N^{k(2m+1)} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} \underbrace{x(2m)}_{\equiv f_1(m)} W_N^{2km} + \sum_{m=0}^{(N/2)-1} \underbrace{x(2m+1)}_{\equiv f_2(m)} W_N^{2km} W_N^k \end{aligned}$$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

Note: since $F_1(k)$ and $F_2(k)$ are $\frac{N}{2}$ -DFTs:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(k) &= F_1(k + \frac{N}{2}) \\ F_2(k) &= F_2(k + \frac{N}{2}) \end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= F_1(k) + W_N^k F_2(k) \\ X(k + \frac{N}{2}) &= F_1(k + \frac{N}{2}) + W_N^{k+\frac{N}{2}} F_2(k + \frac{N}{2}) \\ &= F_1(k) - W_N^k F_2(k) \end{aligned}$$

since $W_N^{k+\frac{N}{2}} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}(k+\frac{N}{2})} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}k} \cdot e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}\frac{N}{2}} = e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}k}(-1) = -W_N^k$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

Therefore,

$$X(k) = F_1(k) + W_N^k F_2(k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$$

$$X\left(k + \frac{N}{2}\right) = F_1(k) - W_N^k F_2(k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2} - 1$$

See [Figure 8.1.4 of text](#).

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

For $N = 8$.

- ▶ See [Figure 8.1.5 of text](#).
- ▶ See [Figure 8.1.6 of text](#).
- ▶ See [Figure 8.1.7 of text](#).

Complexity:

- ▶ Each butterfly requires:
 - ▶ one complex multiplication
 - ▶ two complex additions
- ▶ In total, there are:
 - ▶ $\frac{N}{2}$ butterflies per stage
 - ▶ $\log N$ stages
- ▶ Order of the overall DFT computation is: $O(N \log N)$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

Repeating the decimation-in-time for $f_1(n)$ and $f_2(n)$, we obtain:

$$v_{11}(n) = f_1(2n) \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

$$v_{12}(n) = f_1(2n+1) \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

$$v_{21}(n) = f_2(2n) \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

$$v_{22}(n) = f_2(2n+1) \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

and

$$F_1(k) = V_{11}(k) + W_{N/2}^k V_{12}(k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

$$F_1(k + N/4) = V_{11}(k) - W_{N/2}^k V_{12}(k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

$$F_2(k) = V_{21}(k) + W_{N/2}^k V_{22}(k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

$$F_2(k + N/4) = V_{21}(k) - W_{N/2}^k V_{22}(k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N/4 - 1$$

consisting of $N/4$ -DFTs.

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-time

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-frequency

- ▶ Decimation-in-frequency algorithm
 - ▶ equivalent to divide-and-conquer for $L = \frac{N}{2}$ and $M = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + \sum_{n=N/2}^{N-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} \quad \text{let } n' = n - \frac{N}{2} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + \sum_{n'=0}^{(N/2)-1} x\left(n' + \frac{N}{2}\right) W_N^{k(n'+\frac{N}{2})} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + \sum_{n'=0}^{(N/2)-1} x\left(n' + \frac{N}{2}\right) W_N^{kn'} W_N^{\frac{N}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-frequency

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + \sum_{n'=0}^{(N/2)-1} x\left(n' + \frac{N}{2}\right) W_N^{kn'} W_N^{k\frac{N}{2}} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + W_N^{k\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{n'=0}^{(N/2)-1} x\left(n' + \frac{N}{2}\right) W_N^{kn'} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + (W_N^{\frac{N}{2}})^k \sum_{n'=0}^{(N/2)-1} x\left(n' + \frac{N}{2}\right) W_N^{kn'} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x(n) W_N^{kn} + (-1)^k \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) W_N^{kn} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} \left[x(n) + (-1)^k x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right] W_N^{kn}
 \end{aligned}$$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-frequency

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(2k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} g_1(n) W_{N/2}^{kn} \\
 X(2k+1) &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} g_2(n) W_{N/2}^{kn}
 \end{aligned}$$

Example: $N = 8$. See [Figure 8.1.9 of text](#).

- ▶ Repeating the procedure for the remaining 4-DFTs, we obtain:
 - ▶ See [Figure 8.1.11 of text](#).
- ▶ The basic butterfly configuration is given by:
 - ▶ See [Figure 8.1.10 of text](#). (Note: the "2" is a typo in the text for $(a - 2b)$)

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-frequency

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} \left[x(n) + (-1)^k x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right] W_N^{kn}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N \\
 X(2k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} \left[x(n) + (-1)^{2k} x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right] W_N^{2kn} \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} \left[x(n) + x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right]}_{\equiv g_1(n)} W_{N/2}^{kn}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N/2 \\
 X(2k+1) &= \sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} \left[x(n) + (-1)^{2k+1} x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right] W_N^{(2k+1)n} \\
 &= \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{(N/2)-1} \left[x(n) - x\left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right) \right]}_{\equiv g_2(n)} W_N^n W_{N/2}^{kn}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N/2
 \end{aligned}$$

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-frequency

Radix-2 FFT: Decimation-in-frequency

Complexity:

- ▶ Each butterfly requires:
 - ▶ one complex multiplication
 - ▶ two complex additions
- ▶ In total, there are:
 - ▶ $\frac{N}{2}$ butterflies per stage
 - ▶ $\log N$ stages
- ▶ Order of the overall DFT computation is: $O(N \log N)$

FFT Algorithms and radix

- ▶ Other FFT algorithms exist such as the radix-4 algorithm and the split radix algorithms.
- ▶ Depending on the value of N , these can be more efficient.
- ▶ Most popular algorithms by far are the radix-2 decimation-in-time and radix-2 decimation-in-frequency algorithms.

Convolution and Complexity

Complexity of doing a brute-force convolution is given by:

- ▶ For **fixed n** :

$$y(\textcolor{red}{n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\textcolor{blue}{N}-1} x(k) \bullet h(\textcolor{red}{n} - k)$$

- ▶ $\textcolor{blue}{N}$ real multiplications
- ▶ $\textcolor{blue}{N} - 1$ real additions

- ▶ For **all n** ($n=0, 1, \dots, N-1$):

- ▶ $\textcolor{violet}{N} \cdot \textcolor{blue}{N} = N^2 = O(N^2)$ real multiplications
- ▶ $(N - 1) \cdot \textcolor{violet}{N} = N(N - 1) = O(N^2)$ real additions

Convolution and Complexity

Let $x(n)$ and $h(n)$ be real signals.

Let the support of $x(n)$ be $\textcolor{red}{n} = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. We are interested in determining $y(n)$ for $\textcolor{blue}{n} = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= x(n) * h(n) \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} x(k)h(n - k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\textcolor{red}{N}-1} x(k)h(n - k) \quad \textcolor{blue}{n} = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \end{aligned}$$

Convolution using FFT

To compute the convolution of $x(n)$ (support: $n = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1$) and $h(n)$ (support: $n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$):

1. Assign $N = M + L - 1$.
2. Zero pad both $x(n)$ and $h(n)$ to have support $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
3. Take the N -FFT of $x(n)$ to give $X(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
4. Take the N -FFT of $h(n)$ to give $H(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
5. Produce $Y(k) = X(k) \cdot H(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
6. Take the N -IFFT of $Y(k)$ to give $y(n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.

Convolution using FFT

To compute the convolution of $x(n)$ (support: $n = 0, 1, \dots, L - 1$) and $h(n)$ (support: $n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$):

1. Assign $N = M + L - 1$.
2. Zero pad both $x(n)$ and $h(n)$ to have support $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
 $O(1)$
3. Take the N -FFT of $x(n)$ to give $X(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
 $O(N \log N)$
4. Take the N -FFT of $h(n)$ to give $H(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
 $O(N \log N)$
5. Produce $Y(k) = X(k) \cdot H(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
 $O(N)$
6. Take the N -IFFT of $Y(k)$ to give $y(n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.
 $O(N \log N)$

Complexity of Convolution using FFT

Therefore, the overall complexity of conducting convolution via the FFT is:

$$O(N \log N)$$

which is lower than $O(N^2)$ through direct computation of convolution in the time-domain. ■