

The Theorems of Carathéodory, Radon, and Helly

Frank R. Kschischang

January 9, 2021

Lemma 1. *Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a finite set of points in d -dimensional space. If $n > d$ then we have, for some coefficients μ_1, \dots, μ_n ,*

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i p_i \text{ with } \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \text{ not all zero,} \quad (1)$$

and if $n > d + 1$ we can have (1) under the additional condition that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0. \quad (2)$$

In the latter case, some of μ_1, \dots, μ_n are positive and some are negative.

Proof. The first part of the lemma follows from the fact that every set of $d + 1$ or more points in a d -dimensional vector space is linearly dependent. The second part follows from the observation that $\{p_2 - p_1, p_3 - p_1, \dots, p_n - p_1\}$ is linearly dependent, thus

$$\mathbf{0} = \sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i (p_i - p_1) \text{ with } \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \text{ not all zero.}$$

By defining $\mu_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^n \mu_i$, both (1) and (2) then hold. The only way that (2) can hold with all non-positive or all non-negative terms would be if all terms are zero. \square

Now let P be any (not necessarily finite) set of points in \mathbb{R}^d . The *convex hull* of P , denoted $\text{Conv}(P)$, is the set of all convex combinations of points of P . In other words, a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is in $\text{Conv}(P)$ if and only if, for some positive integer n , and some set $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq P$, and some set of coefficients $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ with $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, we have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i.$$

Theorem (Carathéodory). *For $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, if $x \in \text{Conv}(P)$ then $x \in \text{Conv}(P')$ for some subset P' of P of cardinality at most $d + 1$.*

Proof. Let x be a point of $\text{Conv}(P)$, so that for some positive integer n

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i$$

with, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $p_i \in P$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. If $n \leq d + 1$ there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, $n > d + 1$, so by Lemma 1, we have for scalars μ_1, \dots, μ_n (some of which are positive) that $\mathbf{0} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i p_i$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0$.

Now, for any real number λ , we have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \lambda \mu_i) p_i. \quad (3)$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i - \lambda \mu_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \lambda \cdot 0 = 1,$$

i.e., the coefficients in the linear combination (3) sum to one. We will now select λ so that one of these coefficients becomes zero, while the remaining coefficients are positive, making (3) a convex combination of $n - 1$ points of P .

Let $J = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \mu_j > 0\}$ (and note that J is not empty). Choose $j^* \in J$ so that $\alpha_{j^*}/\mu_{j^*} \leq \alpha_j/\mu_j$ for all $j \in J$, and let $\lambda = \alpha_{j^*}/\mu_{j^*}$. With this choice of λ , we have

$$\alpha_i - \lambda \mu_i \geq 0$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Indeed if $i \in J$, then $\mu_i > 0$ and

$$\alpha_i - \lambda\mu_i = \mu_i(\alpha_i/\mu_i - \lambda) \geq 0,$$

while if $i \notin J$ then $\mu_i \leq 0$, and since $\lambda \geq 0$, we have

$$\alpha_i - \lambda\mu_i \geq \alpha_i \geq 0.$$

Finally observe that $\alpha_{j^*} - \lambda\mu_{j^*} = 0$, so

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{j^*-1} (\alpha_i - \lambda\mu_i)p_i + \sum_{i=j^*+1}^n (\alpha_i - \lambda\mu_i)p_i,$$

which expresses x as a convex combination of the $n - 1$ elements of the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \setminus \{p_{j^*}\}$. This process can be repeated as long as $n > d + 1$, until x is represented as a convex combination of $d + 1$ elements of P . \square

Theorem (Radon). *Every set of $d + 2$ points in \mathbb{R}^d can be partitioned into two sets P_1 and P_2 such that $\text{Conv}(P_1) \cap \text{Conv}(P_2) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $n = d + 2$ and let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. By Lemma 1 we have for scalars μ_1, \dots, μ_n (some of which are positive and some of which are non-positive) $\mathbf{0} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i p_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 0$.

Let $J = \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \mu_j > 0\}$ and let $I = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J$. Neither J nor I is empty. Let $A = \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j$ and note that $A > 0$. Then $\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i = -A$. Finally, let $P_1 = \{p_j : j \in J\}$ and $P_2 = \{p_i : i \in I\}$.

The convex hulls $\text{Conv}(P_1)$ and $\text{Conv}(P_2)$ contain the point

$$p = \sum_{j \in J} \frac{\mu_j}{A} x_j = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{-\mu_i}{A} x_i$$

as the first sum is a convex combination of points from P_1 and the second sum is a convex combination of points from P_2 . Thus $\text{Conv}(P_1) \cap \text{Conv}(P_2) \neq \emptyset$. \square

If $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_{d+2}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is partitioned into subsets P_1 and P_2 then a point $p \in \text{Conv}(P_1) \cap \text{Conv}(P_2)$ is called a *Radon point* of P . For example, the (only) Radon point of $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the median of P .

Recall that a subset X of \mathbb{R}^d is *convex* if it contains the convex hull of its subsets, i.e., X is convex if $\text{Conv}(Y) \subseteq X$ for every $Y \subseteq X$. Also recall that the intersection of two convex sets is again convex.

Theorem (Helly). *Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a collection of convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , with $n > d + 1$. If the intersection of every $d + 1$ of these sets is nonempty, then these subsets have a point in common, i.e.,*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Consider the base case, $n = d + 2$. Then the intersection of any $n - 1$ of the subsets is nonempty. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let x_i be a point in common to all the subsets except (possibly) X_i . If x_1, \dots, x_n are not all distinct, then a repeated element is a point in common to all subsets. Otherwise, according to Radon's Theorem, the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ can be partitioned into two subsets P_1 and P_2 such that $\text{Conv}(P_1)$ and $\text{Conv}(P_2)$ have a point p in common. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, either $P_1 \subset X_i$ or $P_2 \subset X_i$, thus, since X_i is convex, either $\text{Conv}(P_1) \subset X_i$ or $\text{Conv}(P_2) \subset X_i$. In either case $p \in X_i$, which establishes that p is a point in common to all subsets, and therefore the theorem is true in the base case.

Suppose the induction hypothesis is true for some $n \geq d + 2$, and let X_1, \dots, X_{n+1} be a collection of convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d with the property that the intersection of every $d + 1$ of them is nonempty. Note that $X_n \cap X_{n+1}$ is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Consider the collection $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n \cap X_{n+1}$ of n convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . Take any $d + 1$ of the sets $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$; then, by assumption they have a point in common. Otherwise, take d of the sets $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$ together with X_n and X_{n+1} . This is a collection of $d + 2$ convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d to which the base case applies, so they also must have a point in common. Thus the intersection of every $d + 1$ of $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n \cap X_{n+1}$ is nonempty. By the induction hypothesis, these sets have a point p in common, i.e., $X_1 \cap \dots \cap X_n \cap X_{n+1}$ is nonempty, which shows that the induction hypothesis is true for $n + 1$.

Since the induction hypothesis is true for $n = d + 2$, and the truth of the induction hypothesis for $n \geq d + 2$ implies its truth for $n + 1$, by induction the hypothesis is true for all $n \geq d + 2$. \square