Online Model Updating with Analog Aggregation in Wireless Edge Learning

Juncheng Wang*, Min Dong[†], Ben Liang*, Gary Boudreau[‡], and Hatem Abou-Zeid[‡]

* Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, Canada [†] Department of Electrical, Computer, and Software Engineering, Ontario Tech University, Canada [‡] Ericsson Canada, Canada

May 04, 2022

INFOCOM'22





Central server

Wireless Edge Learning



 \mathcal{D}^N

Mobile device N

Local dataset



 \mathcal{D}^n \square \square \square \square \square \square

Wireless Edge Learning



Wireless Edge Learning



Integrate techniques from both machine learning and communications.

Federated Learning (FL) Objective

N devices cooperate to find a global model x* from local datasets {Dⁿ}.

Federated Learning (FL) Objective

N devices cooperate to find a global model x* from local datasets {Dⁿ}.

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} w^n f^n(\mathbf{x}).$$

•
$$f^n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}^n|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{D}^n|} I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{u}^{n,i}, \mathbf{v}^{n,i})$$
: local loss function.

- I(x; u^{n,i}, v^{n,i}): sample-wise loss function.
- $(\mathbf{u}^{n,i}, \mathbf{v}^{n,i})$: data vector $\mathbf{u}^{n,i}$ with label $\mathbf{v}^{n,i}$.
- $w^n = \frac{|\mathcal{D}^n|}{|\mathcal{D}|}$: weight on mobile device *n*.









• Does not consider the wireless communication layer.

Machine learning

• Quantization: certain levels to represent model values.

- Quantization: certain levels to represent model values.
- Sparsification: set small model values to zero.

- Quantization: certain levels to represent model values.
- Sparsification: set small model values to zero.
- Local updates: multiple steps of gradient descent before aggregation.

- Quantization: certain levels to represent model values.
- Sparsification: set small model values to zero.
- Local updates: multiple steps of gradient descent before aggregation.
- Wireless communication
 - Digital communication: entropy coding.

- Quantization: certain levels to represent model values.
- Sparsification: set small model values to zero.
- Local updates: multiple steps of gradient descent before aggregation.
- Wireless communication
 - Digital communication: entropy coding.
 - Analog communication: over-the-air (OTA) computation.









Transmit power $\|\boldsymbol{s}_t^n\|^2, \forall n$











Lower latency and bandwidth requirement than digital communication.







• All separately optimize model training and wireless transmission.



- All separately optimize model training and wireless transmission.
- All focus on per-iteration optimization.



Online Model Updating with Analog Aggregation (OMUAA) Algorithm



- Online Model Updating with Analog Aggregation (OMUAA) Algorithm
 - Jointly optimize model training and analog aggregation.



- Online Model Updating with Analog Aggregation (OMUAA) Algorithm
 - Jointly optimize model training and analog aggregation.
 - Online solutions adapt to channel fluctuation under long-term power limits.



Online Model Updating with Analog Aggregation (OMUAA) Algorithm

- Jointly optimize model training and analog aggregation.
- Online solution adapts to channel fluctuation under long-term power limit.
- Performance bounds on both computation and communication metrics.

Online Problem Formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P1} : & \min_{\{\mathbf{x}_t^n \in \mathcal{X}\}} & \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}\{f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)\} \\ & \text{s.t.} & \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}\{g_t^n(\mathbf{x}_t^n)\} \le 0, \quad \forall n. \end{aligned}$$

*g*ⁿ_t(**x**) = (wⁿ)²/λ²_t ||**b**ⁿ_t ∘ **x**||² − P
ⁿ: long-term transmit power function.
 P
ⁿ: average transmit power budget.

• $\mathcal{X} = { \mathbf{x} : -\mathbf{x}_{max} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}_{max} }$: possible short-term constraints.

Online Problem Formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P1} : & \min_{\{\mathbf{x}_{t}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}\}} & \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\{f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t})\} \\ & \text{s.t.} & \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\{g_{t}^{n}(\mathbf{x}_{t}^{n})\} \leq 0, \quad \forall n. \end{aligned}$$

• $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{X} : -\mathbf{X}_{\max} \leq \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{X}_{\max}\}$: possible short-term constraints.

• Online algorithm with strong performance guarantees.

Algorithm Intuition

• Local virtual queue for long-term power constraint

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_t^n = \max\{\boldsymbol{Q}_{t-1}^n + \boldsymbol{g}_t^n(\boldsymbol{x}_t^n), \boldsymbol{0}\}.$$

Algorithm Intuition

• Local virtual queue for long-term power constraint

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_t^n = \max\{\boldsymbol{Q}_{t-1}^n + \boldsymbol{g}_t^n(\boldsymbol{x}_t^n), \boldsymbol{0}\}.$$

• Maintain queue stability

$$\sum_{t=1}^T g_t^n(\mathbf{x}_t^n) \leq Q_T^n.$$

Algorithm Intuition

• Local virtual queue for long-term power constraint

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_t^n = \max\{\boldsymbol{Q}_{t-1}^n + \boldsymbol{g}_t^n(\boldsymbol{x}_t^n), \boldsymbol{0}\}.$$

Maintain queue stability

$$\sum_{t=1}^T g_t^n(\mathbf{x}_t^n) \leq Q_T^n.$$

• Minimize an upper bound of a drift-plus-penalty metric

$$\gamma \Big[\underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{Q}_{t}^{n})^{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{Q}_{t-1}^{n})^{2}}_{\text{Lyapunov drift}}\Big] + \underbrace{\langle \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}), \boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{t-1}\|^{2}}_{\text{penalty on training loss}}.$$

OMUAA: Mobile Device n's Algorithm

1: Update local model \mathbf{x}_t^n by solving

$$\mathbf{P2}^{n}: \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \underbrace{\left\{ \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}), \mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} \right\} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}\|^{2}}_{\text{training loss}} + \underbrace{\gamma Q_{t-1}^{n} g_{t}^{n}(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{power violation}}.$$

OMUAA: Mobile Device n's Algorithm

1: Update local model \mathbf{x}_t^n by solving

$$\mathbf{P2}^{n}: \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{X}} \quad \underbrace{\langle \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}), \mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}\|^{2}}_{\text{training loss}} + \underbrace{\gamma Q_{t-1}^{n} g_{t}^{n}(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{power violation}}.$$

2: Update local virtual queue

$$Q_t^n = \max\{Q_{t-1}^n + g_t^n(\mathbf{x}_t^n), 0\}.$$

OMUAA: Mobile Device n's Algorithm

1: Update local model \mathbf{x}_t^n by solving

$$\mathbf{P2}^{n}: \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \quad \underbrace{\langle \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}), \mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}\|^{2}}_{\text{training loss}} + \underbrace{\gamma Q_{t-1}^{n} g_{t}^{n}(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{power violation}}.$$

2: Update local virtual queue

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_t^n = \max\{\boldsymbol{Q}_{t-1}^n + \boldsymbol{g}_t^n(\boldsymbol{x}_t^n), \boldsymbol{0}\}.$$

3: Transmit signals $\mathbf{s}_t^n = \frac{1}{\lambda_t} w^n \mathbf{b}_t^n \circ \mathbf{x}_t$ to the edge server.

OMUAA: Edge Server's Algorithm

1: Receive signals \mathbf{y}_t over the air as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{h}_t^n \circ \mathbf{s}_t^n + \mathbf{z}_t = \frac{1}{\lambda_t} \sum_{n=1}^N w^n \mathbf{x}_t^n + \mathbf{z}_t.$$

OMUAA: Edge Server's Algorithm

1: Receive signals \mathbf{y}_t over the air as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{h}_t^n \circ \mathbf{s}_t^n + \mathbf{z}_t = \frac{1}{\lambda_t} \sum_{n=1}^N w^n \mathbf{x}_t^n + \mathbf{z}_t.$$

2: Update noisy global model

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \lambda_t \Re\{\mathbf{y}_t\} = \mathbf{x}_t + \lambda_t \Re\{\mathbf{z}_t\}.$$

OMUAA: Edge Server's Algorithm

1: Receive signals \mathbf{y}_t over the air as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{h}_t^n \circ \mathbf{s}_t^n + \mathbf{z}_t = \frac{1}{\lambda_t} \sum_{n=1}^N w^n \mathbf{x}_t^n + \mathbf{z}_t.$$

2: Update noisy global model

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \lambda_t \Re\{\mathbf{y}_t\} = \mathbf{x}_t + \lambda_t \Re\{\mathbf{z}_t\}.$$

3: Broadcast $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$ to all mobile devices.

Closed-form local model solution

$$\mathbf{x}_{t}^{n} = \left[\underbrace{(\mathbf{1} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t}^{n})^{-1}}_{\text{scaling}} \circ \underbrace{(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \alpha \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}))}_{\text{local gradient descent}}]\right]_{-\mathbf{x}_{\max}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\max}}$$

where the *i*-th entry of θ_t^n is

$$\theta_t^{n,i} = \frac{2\gamma \mathbf{Q}_{t-1}^n (\mathbf{w}^n)^2}{\lambda_t^2 |\mathbf{h}_t^{n,i}|^2}.$$

Closed-form local model solution

$$\mathbf{x}_{t}^{n} = \left[\underbrace{(\mathbf{1} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t}^{n})^{-1}}_{\text{scaling}} \circ \underbrace{(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \alpha \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}))}_{\text{local gradient descent}}\right]_{-\mathbf{x}_{\text{max}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\text{max}}}$$

where the *i*-th entry of θ_t^n is

$$\theta_t^{n,i} = \frac{2\gamma \mathbf{Q}_{t-1}^n (\mathbf{w}^n)^2}{\lambda_t^2 |\mathbf{h}_t^{n,i}|^2}.$$

• Channel-aware: close to error-free when channel power $|h_t^{n,i}|^2$ is large.

Closed-form local model solution

$$\mathbf{x}_{t}^{n} = \left[\underbrace{(\mathbf{1} + \alpha \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t}^{n})^{-1}}_{\text{scaling}} \circ \underbrace{(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \alpha \nabla f^{n}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}))}_{\text{local gradient descent}}\right]_{-\mathbf{x}_{\text{max}}}^{\mathbf{x}_{\text{max}}}$$

where the *i*-th entry of θ_t^n is

$$\theta_t^{n,i} = \frac{2\gamma \mathbf{Q}_{t-1}^n (\mathbf{w}^n)^2}{\lambda_t^2 |\mathbf{h}_t^{n,i}|^2}.$$

- Channel-aware: close to error-free when channel power $|h_t^{n,i}|^2$ is large.
- Power-aware: less power when violation measured by Q_{t-1}^n is large.

• Benchmark: optimal global solution $\{\mathbf{x}_t^*\}$ to **P1** over noiseless channel.

• Benchmark: optimal global solution $\{\mathbf{x}_t^*\}$ to **P1** over noiseless channel.

Theorem

For i.i.d. $\{\mathbf{h}_t\}$, given any $\epsilon > 0$, set $\alpha = \gamma = \epsilon$ and $\lambda_t = \epsilon^2, \forall t$, we have

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}\{f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)\} \leq f^* + \mathcal{O}((1+\rho^2+\Pi_T\rho)\epsilon), \quad \forall T \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}, \\ \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} g_t^n(\mathbf{x}_t^n) \leq \mathcal{O}((1+\rho^2)\epsilon), \quad \forall n, \quad \forall T \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^3}, \end{cases}$$

where $\Pi_T \triangleq \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}\{\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\star} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^{\star}\|\}$ and $\|\Re\{\mathbf{z}_t\}\| \le \rho, \forall t$.

Application to Image Classification Problem

- MNIST dataset (hand written digits 0-9)
 - $|\mathcal{D}| = 6 \times 10^4$ training and $|\mathcal{E}| = 1 \times 10^4$ testing data samples.
 - **u** represents an image of 784 pixel with label $v \in \{1, ..., 10\}$.

Application to Image Classification Problem

- MNIST dataset (hand written digits 0-9)
 - $|\mathcal{D}| = 6 \times 10^4$ training and $|\mathcal{E}| = 1 \times 10^4$ testing data samples.
 - **u** represents an image of 784 pixel with label $v \in \{1, ..., 10\}$.
- Cross-entropy training loss for multinomial logistic regression

$$I(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{10} \mathbb{1}\{\mathbf{v}=j\} \log \frac{\exp(\langle \mathbf{x}[j],\mathbf{u}\rangle)}{\sum_{k=1}^{10} \exp(\langle \mathbf{x}[k],\mathbf{u}\rangle)}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}[1]^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}[10]^T]^T$ is of size 7840.

- Computation system
 - Non-i.i.d. data among devices.
 - Batch dataset with $|\mathcal{B}_t^n| = 20$ data samples each iteration.

- Computation system
 - Non-i.i.d. data among devices.
 - Batch dataset with $|\mathcal{B}_t^n| = 20$ data samples each iteration.
- Communication system
 - N = 10 devices with 100 m to the edge server.
 - 500 subchannels over $\lceil \frac{7840}{500} \rceil = 16$ transmission frames.
 - Each subchannel is of bandwidth 15 kHz.

Performance Metrics & Benchmarks

- Performance metrics
 - Time-averaged test accuracy over ${\cal E}$

$$\bar{A}(T) = \frac{1}{|T\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{E}|} \mathbb{1}\bigg\{ \arg \max_{j} \bigg\{ \frac{\exp(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}[j], \mathbf{u}^{i} \rangle)}{\sum_{k=1}^{J} \exp(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}[k], \mathbf{u}^{i} \rangle)} \bigg\} = v^{i} \bigg\}.$$

• Time-averaged training loss over $\{\mathcal{B}_t^n\}$

$$\overline{f}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_t^n|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{B}_t^n|} w^n l(\widehat{\mathbf{x}}_t; \mathbf{u}_t^{n,i}, v_t^{n,i}).$$

Performance Metrics & Benchmarks

- Performance metrics
 - Time-averaged test accuracy over ${\cal E}$

$$\bar{A}(T) = \frac{1}{|T\mathcal{E}|} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{E}|} \mathbb{1}\left\{\arg\max_{j}\left\{\frac{\exp(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}[j], \mathbf{u}^{i} \rangle)}{\sum_{k=1}^{J} \exp(\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}[k], \mathbf{u}^{i} \rangle)}\right\} = v^{i}\right\}.$$

• Time-averaged training loss over $\{\mathcal{B}_t^n\}$

$$\bar{f}(T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_{t}^{n}|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{B}_{t}^{n}|} w^{n} l(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t}; \mathbf{u}_{t}^{n,i}, v_{t}^{n,i}).$$

- Performance benchmarks
 - Error-free FL: performance upper bound for OMUAA.
 - OTA FL: current best alternatives with long-term power constraints.
 - R-OTA FL: additional regularization $\kappa \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ for OTA-FL.

Performance Comparison



• Error-free FL:

FL over noiseless channels.

OTA FL:

current best alternatives.

R-OTA FL:

regularized current best.

Impact of Average Transmit Power Limit



• Error-free FL:

FL over noiseless channels.

• OTA FL:

current best alternatives.

R-OTA FL:

regularized current best.

Application to Neural Network



• Error-free FL:

FL over noiseless channels.

OTA FL:

current best alternatives.

R-OTA FL:

regularized current best.

- FL at the edge over noisy wireless channels
 - Joint online optimization of model training and analog aggregation.

- FL at the edge over noisy wireless channels
 - Joint online optimization of model training and analog aggregation.
 - Minimize accumulated training loss subject to long-term power constraints.

- FL at the edge over noisy wireless channels
 - Joint online optimization of model training and analog aggregation.
 - Minimize accumulated training loss subject to long-term power constraints.
- OMUAA algorithm
 - Integration of FL, OTA computation, and power allocation.

- FL at the edge over noisy wireless channels
 - Joint online optimization of model training and analog aggregation.
 - Minimize accumulated training loss subject to long-term power constraints.
- OMUAA algorithm
 - Integration of FL, OTA computation, and power allocation.
 - Both channel- and power-aware closed-form online solution.

- FL at the edge over noisy wireless channels
 - Joint online optimization of model training and analog aggregation.
 - Minimize accumulated training loss subject to long-term power constraints.
- OMUAA algorithm
 - Integration of FL, OTA computation, and power allocation.
 - Both channel- and power-aware closed-form online solution.
 - Performance bounds for both computation and communication metrics.

- FL at the edge over noisy wireless channels
 - Joint online optimization of model training and analog aggregation.
 - Minimize accumulated training loss subject to long-term power constraints.
- OMUAA algorithm
 - Integration of FL, OTA computation, and power allocation.
 - Both channel- and power-aware closed-form online solution.
 - Performance bounds for both computation and communication metrics.
- Simulation results
 - Substantial performance gain over the current best alternatives.